UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

SHARON KAY HEAL, Plaintiff, Case No. 1:10-cv-658 Weber, J. Litkovitz, M.J.

VS.

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Defendant.

On July 20, 2011, the Court ordered plaintiff to either file a Statement of Errors, or show cause why her complaint should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution and for failure to comply with the Magistrate Judges' General Order Concerning Social Security Appeals. (Doc. 14). A certified copy of that Order was mailed to plaintiff, and an acknowledgment of service with a delivery date of July 28, 2011, was returned by the United States Postal Service as indicated on the green card return receipt. (Doc. 15).

To date, more than twenty (20) days later, plaintiff has yet to respond to the Court's Order or file a Statement of Errors. Accordingly, dismissal is appropriate. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); *Link v. Wabash R.R.*, 370 U.S. 626, 630-631 (1962); *Jourdan v. Jabe*, 951 F.2d 108, 109 (6th Cir. 1991).

IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED THAT:

- 1. Plaintiff's complaint be **DISMISSED** with prejudice for lack of prosecution.
- 2. The Court certify pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) that for the foregoing reasons an appeal of this Order would not be taken in good faith and therefore deny plaintiff leave to appeal *in forma pauperis*. Plaintiff, a non-prisoner, remains free to apply to proceed *in forma pauperis* in the Court of Appeals. *See Callihan v. Schneider*, 178 F.3d 800, 803 (6th Cir. 1999), overruling in

part Floyd v. United States Postal Serv., 105 F.3d 274, 277 (6th Cir. 1997).

Date: 8/17/201/

Karen L. Litkovitz

United States Magistrate Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

SHARON KAY HEAL, Plaintiff,

Case No. 1:10-cv-658 Weber, J. Litkovitz, M.J.

VS.

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,
Defendant.

NOTICE REGARDING THE FILING OF OBJECTIONS TO THIS R&R

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), any party may serve and file specific, written objections to these proposed findings and recommendations within TEN DAYS after being served with this Report and Recommendation ("R&R"). Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(e), this period is automatically extended to thirteen days (excluding intervening Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays) because this R&R is being served by mail. That period may be extended further by the Court on timely motion for an extension. Such objections shall specify the portions of the R&R objected to, and shall be accompanied by a memorandum of law in support of the objections. If the R&R is based, in whole or in part, upon matters occurring of record at an oral hearing, the objecting party shall promptly arrange for the transcription of the record, or such portions of it as all parties may agree upon or the Magistrate Judge deems sufficient, unless the assigned District Judge otherwise directs. A party may respond to another party's objections within TEN DAYS after being served with a copy thereof. Failure to make objections in accordance with this procedure may forfeit rights on appeal.

See United States v. Walters, 638 F. 2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981); Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985).

item 4 if Restricted Delivery Print your name and addresso that we can return the c	Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.		Agent Addressee C. Date of Delivery
Attach this card to the back or on the front if space pen 1. Article Addressed to: Sharen Kay H Z16 South Ce Sabina, OH	mits.	B. Received by (Printed Name) D. Is delivery address different from ite If YES, enter delivery address belo	m 1? □ Yes
Jabina, UH	Jabina, UH 43164		ail elept for Merchandise
2. Article Number	7003 2260 0002 6723 3111		
(Transfer from service label)			